

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,368	02/13/2004	Lester F. Ludwig	2152-3035	7886
22242 FITCH EVEN	7590 03/25/200 TABIN AND FLANN	EXAM	EXAMINER	
120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			BONSHOCK, DENNIS G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		2173		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/779,368	LUDWIG, LESTER F	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DENNIS G. BONSHOCK	2173	

Cont	inuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
TH	IE REPLY FILED 03 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.	application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea	ne same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the I (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request R 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
Ι.	<ul> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date or</li> </ul>	f the final rejection
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706,07(f).	
hav und set ma	re been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter der 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from; (1) the expiration date of the shi	which the petition under $37$ CFR $1.136(a)$ and the appropriate extension fee siston and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or ( $2$ ) as an three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
		31. 07.0ED 44.07
	I he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compile filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with IENDMENTS	unce with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a in the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
_	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but	t prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
•	(a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	r form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	rresponding number of finally rejected claims.
4		. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _	
		wable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7.		will not be entered, or b) ⊠ will be entered and an explanation of led below or appended.
	Claim(s) allowed:	
	Claim(s) objected to:	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AF	FIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s	pefore or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
١,	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be
	entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
	□ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation QUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
	<ol> <li>M The request for reconsideration has been consider because:</li> </ol>	ed but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance
	Baudel is in a different document, being a tool pallet, the E "Application Area" space (not a different docuent), such a through column 35, line 3 and in figure 7, where the docur	ed. With respect to that Applicant arguing that the secondary cursor of examiner respectfully submits that the "tool palette" is within the same area is even defined in the applicants specification at column 34, line 8 nent drawing area comprises a toolbar. With regard to the Applicant
	is relied upon for the teaching of two pointer in a single ap controlled by two pointing devices. Barber teaches an alte while teaching it as an alternative to "controlling multiple p	thes two pointer devices, the Examiner respectfully submits that Baudel plication and while it pointes to the advantage of two cursor s being mative means for controlling two pointers with one pointing device, ointer with multiple pointing devices" (see column 14. lines 4-5 of ointing device and with multiple pointing devices, with advantages to
12	Dott embodiments.  ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
	Other:	